

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ROBERT CRAIG,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-2740 MCE DAD P

12 vs.

13 TOM CAREY, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 On May 3, 2005, the court received petitioner's opposition to respondents' motion  
17 to dismiss. The opposition was not accompanied by a proof of service.

18 Petitioner is advised that every document he submits to the court for consideration  
19 must include evidence that a copy of the document was served on respondents' counsel. See Fed.  
20 R. Civ. P. 5; Rule 11, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. A document not served electronically  
21 may be served by placing a true and exact copy of the document in the U.S. mail. Every  
22 document petitioner submits to the court for filing in this case must include a proof of service  
23 that is signed under penalty of perjury and states the name of the document served, the date on  
24 which an accurate copy of the document was placed in the mail to respondents' attorney, and the  
25 complete address to which the copy was mailed. See Local Rule 5-135(b) and (c).

26 /////

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within fifteen days from the date of  
2 this order petitioner shall file and serve a proof of service that shows the date on which a copy of  
3 petitioner's opposition was served upon respondents' counsel.

4 DATED: May 17, 2005.

5                     
6                   \_\_\_\_\_  
7                   DALE A. DROZD  
8                   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 DAD:13:kf  
8 crai2740.135a  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26